Externalities in Payment Card Networks

Sujit Chakravorti
Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

The Economics and Regulation of Credit Card Interchange Fees
International Center for Law and Economics and the Mercatus Center at
George Mason University
June 9, 2010

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System.

Introductory Remarks

- It is a pleasure and an honor to speak at this conference
- These are my own views and not those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System
- I will provide an overview of the academic literature on payment cards with a focus on some of my own research

Key Questions

- What is the optimal structure of payment fees between consumers, merchants, and financial institutions?
- Will competition among payment providers, networks, or instruments improve consumer and merchant welfare and social welfare generally?
- What guidelines should policymakers follow when regulating payment services?

Move to Electronic Payments

- Many policymakers encourage electronic payments
 - Some city governments have mandated the acceptance of payment cards by taxis
 - ▶ IRS accepts payment cards but pays no merchant discount
 - ▶ Reduce tax evasion
 - Consumers and merchants benefit from transaction speed, convenience, and reduced loss from theft and unauthorized use
- Some authorities have questioned the pricing of payment cards

Two-Sided Markets

- Dance club in Acapulco (single women free, single men line and pay, couples both pay but no line)
- For payment instruments, both consumers and merchants consume simultaneously
- A market where end-users are unable to negotiate prices based on costs to participate and the price structure affects the total volume
- Often the sum of the fees paid by end users is ignored by academic models and focus is on the proportion each end user pays

Adoption and Usage Externalities

- Society is better off if total benefits exceed total costs
- A transfer between end-users may be socially optimal
- However, incentives on one network may affect usage of another, e.g. cash, check, or debit cards

Merchant Competition

- Question: Why can't most merchants refuse costly payment instruments?
- Answer: Customers will go to competitors (however, depends on consumer demand and merchant competition)
- Merchants may accept payment instruments to steal business from competitors but aggregate sales may stay constant
- Merchants may be willing to pay higher fees than socially optimal

Instrument-Contingent Pricing

- Most economic models find instrument-contingent pricing is socially efficient
- However, merchants may not set higher prices for certain types of payments even if they allowed to do so
- In some cases, merchants may set higher prices than their costs, e.g. Dutch debit cards (merchants may charge 4 times their cost)

Network and Issuer Competition

- Platform or network competition does not necessarily improve the price structure although the total price may decrease
- Competition may result in low or negative consumer fees if issuers compete too vigorously on the consumer side
- Intense issuer competition may tilt pricing against merchants

Extension of Credit

- Most of the payment card literature ignores the extension of credit but it is another source of surplus extraction for payment providers
- Credit allows consumers to make purchases and merchants to make sales that may not have otherwise occurred
- Non-liquidity constrained consumers may be enticed to use their credit cards with financial incentives subsidized from credit-constrained ones (who pays for these rewards?)
- There may be tradeoff between extending credit to less creditworthy consumers and the merchant discount fee

Honor-All-Cards Rules

- Different types of HAC rules
 - ▶ Honor all products—debit, credit, and prepaid
 - Honor all types of cards—low and high interchange fees
 - ▶ Honor all issuer of same type of card
- In jurisdictions, where rules have been removed, few merchants have accepted one and rejected the other
- Could also allow price differentiation across different types of cards

Cost-Based Approach and Innovation

- Cost-based approach may limit incentives to innovate
- Networks and issuers may require years to recoup investments in new products
- May not introduce new products and improve existing rails

Conclusion

- Payment card economics is complicated because of the interactions of a set of interdependent bilateral relationships
- No consensus among economists and policymakers on what constitutes an efficient fee structure for card-based payments
- Initial conditions and market specifics matters, no one size fits all